

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,602	09/04/2001	Iris Pecker	01/22380	1723	
7	590 11/04/2002				
G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.			EXAMINER		
c/o ANTHONY CASTORINA SUITE 207			DECLOUX, AMY M		
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2002	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, 1				_			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/944,602	PECKER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Amy M. DeCloux	1644	4			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the co	corresponaence address				
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiry within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	,	nis action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	Expans godyn, 1000 o.b. 11,					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
,	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmer	•	. <del>.</del>	(070 (40) D				
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)	į			
U.S. Patent and	Trademark Office			1			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offi PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/944,602

Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-2 and 7-10, drawn to a method of distinguishing between various cell types, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
  - II. Claims 3-6, drawn to a method of determining whether a patient has ALL, CML, CLL or NHL, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I-II are unique methods. They differ with respect to their respective endpoints, the former group being drawn to a method of distinguishing between various cell types, and the latter group being drawn to a method of determining whether a patient has ALL, CML, CLL or NHL. Therefore, Group I and Group II are patentably distinct, each from the other.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and because a search in the non-patent literature of any of these distinct inventions would not be co-extensive with a search of the others, an examination and search of two or more inventions in a single application would constitute a serious undue burden on the Examiner, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- A) Group I encompasses methods of distinguishing between different cell types. If Group I is elected, Applicant is required to elect a method comprising a **specific pair of cells types** to be distinguished from each other, such as CLL and AML as recited in Claim 2.
- B) Group II encompasses methods of determining whether a patient has ALL, CML, CLL or NHL. Applicant is required to elect a method comprising a **specific lymphoma**, such as CLL as recited in Claim 3.
- 3. The species are distinct, because each cell type has a distinct structure with a distinct set of properties.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-2 and 7-10 are generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,602

Art Unit: 1644

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy M. DeCloux whose telephone number is 703 306-5821. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 703 308-3973. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-3014 for regular communications and 703 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0196.

Amy DeCloux, Ph.D. Patent Examiner, October 31, 2002

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D. Primary Patent Examiner,

Group 1640